

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.usptc.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/588,696 06/07/2000		06/07/2000	Yujiro Suzuki	325772017700	8631	
25227	7590	01/21/2003				
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP				EXAMINER		
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300				LEUNG, QU'	LEUNG, QUYEN PHAN	
MCLEAN, VA 22102		2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2828		
				DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/588,686	GAVNEY ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quyen P. Leung	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,	er House de la transporte de la region de
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti</li></ol>	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Sec</u>	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		Quyen P. Leung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2828





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposal to make changes to the claim language such as from "adapted to" to --configured to--does not reduce the issues, because the claim language thereafter in the claims was treated in the previous office action. As pointed out in the previous Office action, Kobayashi's abstract and col. 2 lines 11-20 show that the thin film (masking layer) of Kobayashi meets the claimed language following "adapted to"/"configured to", relating to the thin film (masking layer) transmitting light when the thin film is irradiated (or heated) with light from the light emitting element.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: . Applicant's arguments were not found persuasive. Applicant has not shown how applicant's CLAIMED invention distinguishes over the applied art. As pointed out in the previous Office action, Kobayashi's abstract and col. 2 lines 11-20 show that the thin film (masking layer) of Kobayashi meets the claimed language following "adapted to"/"configured to", relating to the thin film (masking layer) transmitting light when the thin film is irradiated (or heated) with light from the light emitting element and blocking light when the thin film is not irradiated (or heated) with light from the light emitting element.